

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

KENNETH E. MEDEMA, JR.,	)	
	)	
Complainant,	)	
	)	
v.	)	PCB No. 05-220
	)	(Enforcement – Noise)
TNT LOGISTICS NORTH AMERICA	)	
INC.,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	

**NOTICE OF FILING**

TO: Ms. Dorothy M. Gunn	Bradley P. Halloran, Esq.
Clerk of the Board	Hearing Officer
Illinois Pollution Control Board	Illinois Pollution Control Board
100 West Randolph Street	100 West Randolph Street
Suite 11-500	Suite 11-500
Chicago, Illinois 60601	Chicago, Illinois 60601
<b>(VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL)</b>	<b>(VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL)</b>

**(PERSONS ON ATTACHED SERVICE LIST)**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that I have today filed with the Office of the Clerk of the Illinois Pollution Control Board Respondent, TNT Logistics North America, Inc.'s **RESPONSE TO COMPLAINANT'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND COMPLAINT, INSTANTER**, a copy of which is herewith served upon you.

Respectfully submitted,

TNT LOGISTICS NORTH AMERICA INC.,  
Respondent,

By: /s/Thomas G. Safley  
One of Its Attorneys

Dated: December 20, 2005

Edward W. Dwyer  
Thomas G. Safley  
HODGE DWYER ZEMAN  
3150 Roland Avenue  
Post Office Box 5776  
Springfield, Illinois 62705-5776  
(217) 523-4900

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Thomas G. Safley, the undersigned, hereby certify that I have served the attached RESPONSE TO COMPLAINANT'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND COMPLAINT, INSTANTER upon:

Ms. Dorothy M. Gunn  
Clerk of the Board  
Illinois Pollution Control Board  
100 West Randolph Street  
Suite 11-500  
Chicago, Illinois 60601

via electronic mail on December 20, 2005; and upon:

Bradley P. Halloran, Esq.  
Hearing Officer  
Illinois Pollution Control Board  
100 West Randolph Street  
Suite 11-500  
Chicago, Illinois 60601

Timothy M. Nolan, Esq.  
Mary Ann Sullivan, Esq.  
53 West Jackson Boulevard  
Suite 1137  
Chicago, Illinois 60604-3702

by depositing said documents in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, in Springfield, Illinois on December 20, 2005.

/s/Thomas G. Safley  
Thomas G. Safley

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

KENNETH E. MEDEMA, JR.,	)	
	)	
Complainant,	)	
	)	
v.	)	PCB No. 05-220
	)	(Enforcement – Noise)
TNT LOGISTICS NORTH	)	
AMERICA INC.,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	

**RESPONSE TO COMPLAINANT’S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND COMPLAINT, INSTANTER**

NOW COMES Respondent, TNT LOGISTICS NORTH AMERICA INC. (“TNT”), by its attorneys HODGE DWYER ZEMAN, and for its Response to Complainant’s Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint, Instanter, states as follows:

**I. INTRODUCTION**

On June 27, 2005, Complainant filed his Complaint with the Illinois Pollution Control Board (“Board”). The Complaint, as filed, alleges that TNT’s facility in Monee, Illinois, has violated the numeric noise standards contained in 35 Ill. Admin. Code §§ 901.102, 901.103, 901.104, and 901.106. TNT filed its Answer and affirmative defense to the Complaint on August 22, 2005. The Complaint was accepted for hearing by the Board’s Order dated September 1, 2005. On October 19, 2005, counsel for Complainant filed their appearance with the Board. Complainant moved the Hearing Officer for leave to amend his Complaint on December 5, 2005.

**II. RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND COMPLAINT, INSTANTER**

Complainant’s Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint, Instanter (“Motion to Amend”) states: “Complainant by and through its attorneys, seeks leave to amend his

Complaint by pleading a violation of 35 Ill. Adm. Code 900.102.” Complainant further asserts that “this action will not cause delay or prejudice.”

The Board’s procedural rules provide in relevant part as follows:

- d) If a party wishes to file a counter-complaint, cross-complaint, or third-party complaint, the party must move the Board for leave to file the pleading. If a party wishes to file an amendment to a complaint, counter-complaint, cross-complaint, or third-party complaint that sets forth a new or modified claim against another person, the party who wishes to file the pleading must move the Board for leave to file the pleading.
- e) The pleading sought to be filed pursuant to subsection (d) of this Section must:
  - 1) Set forth a claim that arises out of the occurrence or occurrences that are the subject of the proceeding; and
  - 2) Meet the requirements of Section 103.204 of this Subpart.

35 Ill. Admin. Code §§ 103.206(d)-(e). (Emphasis added.)

Section 103.204 provides in relevant part that a complaint must set forth:

- 2) The dates, location, events, nature, extent, duration, and strength of discharges or emissions and consequences alleged to constitute violations of the Act and regulations. The complaint must advise respondents of the extent and nature of the alleged violations to reasonably allow preparation of a defense; and
- 3) A concise statement of the relief that the complainant seeks.

35 Ill. Admin. Code §§ 103.204(c)(2)-(3). (Emphasis added.)

Complainant’s Motion to Amend did not include a copy of the Amended Complaint which Complainant seeks leave to file. Further, Complainant’s Motion provides no information regarding the specifics of the proposed Amended Complaint. Accordingly, neither the Board nor TNT has any information on which to rely to evaluate

whether the proposed Amended Complainant meets the requirements of Sections 103.206 and 103.204 of the Board's procedural rules.

Further, when deciding a motion for leave to amend a pleading, the Board considers four factors: 1. whether the amendment cures a defect; 2. whether the other parties would be prejudiced or sustain surprise; 3. is the filing timely; and 4. whether previous opportunities for amendments existed. *People of the State of Illinois v. Community Landfill Company, Inc.*, PCB 97-193, 2004 Ill. Env. LEXIS 166 at \*7-11 (Ill.Pol.Control.Bd. March 18, 2004). As stated above, Complainant has provided no basis for his Motion to Amend. Without sufficient information, as outlined *supra*, neither TNT nor the Board can adequately assess whether the proposed additional claim will cause prejudice to TNT.

In light of the above, TNT has no information on which it can agree to or oppose Complainant's Motion to Amend.

Finally, as noted above, Complainant moved the Hearing Officer, not the Board, for leave to amend his Complaint. It appears to TNT that the Hearing Officer does not have authority to rule on Complainant's motion, but rather, that the Board must rule on that motion. See 35 Ill. Admin. Code §§ 103.206(d) ("If a party wishes to file an amendment to a complaint ... that sets forth a new or modified claim against another person, the party ... must move the Board for leave ...") (emphasis added), 101.502.

**III. CONCLUSION**

WHEREFORE, the Respondent, TNT LOGISTICS NORTH AMERICA INC., respectfully prays that the Illinois Pollution Control Board consider the foregoing when ruling upon Complainant's Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint, Instanter and award such relief as the Illinois Pollution Control Board deems just and proper in the premises.

Respectfully submitted,

TNT LOGISTICS NORTH  
AMERICA INC.  
Respondent,

By: /s/ Thomas G. Safley  
One of Its Attorneys

Dated: December 20, 2005

Edward W. Dwyer  
Thomas G. Safley  
HODGE DWYER ZEMAN  
3150 Roland Avenue  
Post Office Box 5776  
Springfield, Illinois 62705-5776  
(217) 523-4900

TNTL:002/Fil/Medema/Response to Motion to Amend Complaint - Medema